

Iteration of the rational function $z - 1/z$ and a Hausdorff moment sequence ^{*}

Christian Berg [†] and Antonio J. Durán [‡]

[†] Institut for Matematiske Fag. Københavns Universitet

Universitetsparken 5; DK-2100 København ø, Denmark. berg@math.ku.dk

[‡] Departamento de Análisis Matemático. Universidad de Sevilla

Apdo (P. O. BOX) 1160. 41080 Sevilla. Spain. duran@us.es

February 8, 2008

Abstract

In a previous paper we considered a positive function f , uniquely determined for $s > 0$ by the requirements $f(1) = 1$, $\log(1/f)$ is convex and the functional equation $f(s) = \psi(f(s+1))$ with $\psi(s) = s - 1/s$. We prove that the meromorphic extension of f to the whole complex plane is given by the formula $f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{on}(\lambda_n(\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n)^z)$, where the numbers λ_n are defined by $\lambda_0 = 0$ and the recursion $\lambda_{n+1} = (1/2)(\lambda_n + \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4})$. The numbers $m_n = 1/\lambda_{n+1}$ form a Hausdorff moment sequence of a probability measure μ such that $\int t^{z-1} d\mu(t) = 1/f(z)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 44A60; secondary 30D05.

Keywords: Hausdorff moment sequence, iteration of rational functions

1 Introduction and main results

Hausdorff moment sequences are sequences of the form $a_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\nu(t)$, $n \geq 0$, where ν is a positive measure on $[0, 1]$.

In [5] we introduced a non-linear transformation T of the set of Hausdorff moment sequences into itself by the formula:

$$T((a_n))_n = 1/(a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

^{*}This work was initiated during a visit of the first author to the University of Sevilla in January 2007 supported by D.G.E.S, ref. BFM2003-6335-C03-01, FQM-262 (*Junta de Andalucía*).

The corresponding transformation of positive measures on $[0, 1]$ is denoted $\widehat{T}$. We recall from [5] that if $\nu \neq 0$, then $\widehat{T}(\nu)(\{0\}) = 0$ and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1-t^{z+1}}{1-t} d\nu(t) \int_0^1 t^z d\widehat{T}(\nu)(t) = 1 \text{ for } \Re z \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

It is clear that if ν is a probability measure, then so is $\widehat{T}(\nu)$, and in this way we get a transformation of the convex set of normalized Hausdorff moment sequences (i.e. $a_0 = 1$) as well as a transformation of the set of probability measures on $[0, 1]$. By Kakutani's theorem the transformation has a fixed point, and by (1.1) it is clear that a fixed point $(m_n)_n$ is uniquely determined by the recursive equation

$$m_0 = 1, \quad (1 + m_1 + \cdots + m_n)m_n = 1, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

Therefore

$$m_{n+1}^2 + \frac{m_{n+1}}{m_n} - 1 = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

giving

$$m_1 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad m_2 = \frac{\sqrt{22 + 2\sqrt{5}} - \sqrt{5} - 1}{4}, \dots$$

In [6] we studied the Hausdorff moment sequence $(m_n)_n$ and its associated probability measure μ , called the *fixed point measure*. It has an increasing and convex density $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on $]0, 1[$, and for $t \rightarrow 1$ we have $\mathcal{D}(t) \sim 1/\sqrt{2\pi(1-t)}$.

We studied the *Bernstein transform*

$$f(z) = \mathcal{B}(\mu)(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{1-t^z}{1-t} d\mu(t), \quad \Re z > 0 \quad (1.5)$$

as well as the *Mellin transform*

$$F(z) = \mathcal{M}(\mu)(z) = \int_0^1 t^z d\mu(t), \quad \Re z > 0 \quad (1.6)$$

of μ . These functions are clearly holomorphic in the half-plane $\Re z > 0$ and continuous in $\Re z \geq 0$, the latter because $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$.

As a first result we proved:

Theorem 1.1 ([6]). *The functions f, F can be extended to meromorphic functions in $\mathbb{C}$ and they satisfy*

$$f(z+1)F(z) = 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \quad (1.7)$$

$$f(z) = f(z+1) - \frac{1}{f(z+1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.8)$$

They are holomorphic in $\Re z > -1$. Furthermore $z = -1$ is a simple pole of f and F .

The fixed point measure μ has the properties

$$\int_0^1 t^x d\mu(t) < \infty, \quad x > -1; \quad \int_0^1 \frac{d\mu(t)}{t} = \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

The function f can be characterized in analogy with the Bohr-Mollerup theorem about the Gamma function, cf. [2]. More precisely we proved:

Theorem 1.2 ([6]). *The Bernstein transform (1.5) of the fixed point measure is a function $f :]0, \infty[\rightarrow]0, \infty[$ with the following properties*

$$(i) \quad f(1) = 1,$$

$$(ii) \quad \log(1/f) \text{ is convex,}$$

$$(iii) \quad f(s) = f(s+1) - 1/f(s+1), \quad s > 0.$$

Conversely, if $\tilde{f} :]0, \infty[\rightarrow]0, \infty[$ satisfies (i)-(iii), then it is equal to f and for $0 < s \leq 1$ we have

$$\tilde{f}(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{on} \left(\frac{1}{m_{n-1}} \left(\frac{m_{n-1}}{m_n} \right)^s \right), \quad (1.10)$$

where ψ is the rational function

$$\psi(z) = z - \frac{1}{z}. \quad (1.11)$$

In particular (1.10) holds for f .

In this paper we use the notation for composition of mappings:

$$\psi^{\circ 1}(z) = \psi(z), \quad \psi^{\circ n}(z) = \psi(\psi^{\circ(n-1)}(z)), \quad n \geq 2.$$

The function ψ is a two-to-one mapping of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ onto $\mathbb{C}$ with the exception that $\psi(z) = \pm 2i$ has only one solution $z = \pm i$. Moreover, $\psi(0) = \psi(\infty) = \infty$ and ψ is a continuous mapping of the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ onto itself. It is strictly increasing on the half-lines $]-\infty, 0[$ and $]0, \infty[$, mapping each of them onto $\mathbb{R}$. The functional equation (1.8) can be written

$$f(z) = \psi(f(z+1)). \quad (1.12)$$

The sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ is defined in terms of $(m_n)_n$ from (1.3) by

$$\lambda_0 = 0, \quad \lambda_{n+1} = 1/m_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.13)$$

i.e.

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{\sqrt{22 + 2\sqrt{5}} + \sqrt{5} + 1}{4}, \dots$$

By (1.6) and (1.7) we clearly have

$$m_n = F(n), \quad \lambda_n = f(n), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.14)$$

hence by (1.12)

$$\lambda_n = \psi(\lambda_{n+1}), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.15)$$

which can be reformulated to

$$\lambda_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_n + \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4} \right), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.16)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that equation (1.10) holds for f in the whole complex plane.

For a domain $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\mathcal{H}(G)$ the space of holomorphic functions on G equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of G .

Theorem 1.3. *Let $a_n, b_n : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the entire functions defined by*

$$a_n(z) = \lambda_n \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} \right)^z, \quad n \geq 2, \quad b_n(z) = \lambda_n \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right)^z, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.17)$$

The meromorphic function f from Theorem 1.1 is given for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\circ n}(a_n(z)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\circ n}(b_n(z)), \quad (1.18)$$

and the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$.

Remark 1.4. In [6] it was proved that the Julia and Fatou sets of ψ are respectively $\mathbb{R}^*$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ we have $\psi^{\circ n}(z) \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that $a_n(z), b_n(z)$ are close to λ_n when n is large because $\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ according to Lemma 2.1 below. Also $\psi^{\circ n}(\lambda_n) = 0$ for all n .

Hausdorff's characterization of moment sequences was given in [7]. See also Widder's monograph [8]. For information about moment sequences in general see [1].

2 Proofs

We first recall some properties of the sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$, which are needed in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.1 ([6]). *1. $\sqrt{n} \leq \lambda_n \leq \sqrt{2n}$, $n \geq 0$.*

2. $(\lambda_n)_n$ is an increasing divergent sequence and λ_{n+1}/λ_n is decreasing with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = 1$.

$$3. \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_{n+1}^2 - \lambda_n^2) = 2.$$

$$4. \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n^2}{n} = 2.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

The proof is given in a number of steps.

1° : For any $0 < s < \infty$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\psi^{\circ n}(a_n(s)) - \psi^{\circ n}(b_n(s))] = 0.$$

Note that the quantity under the limit is positive because $a_n(s) > b_n(s)$ and ψ is increasing.

By the mean value theorem we get for a certain $w \in]b_n(s), a_n(s)[$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\circ n}(a_n(s)) - \psi^{\circ n}(b_n(s)) &= (a_n(s) - b_n(s))(\psi^{\circ n})'(w) \\ &= (a_n(s) - b_n(s))\psi'(\psi^{\circ n-1}(w))\psi'(\psi^{\circ n-2}(w)) \cdots \psi'(w). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_n < b_n(s) < w < a_n(s)$, we get $\lambda_{n-k} < \psi^{\circ k}(b_n(s)) < \psi^{\circ k}(w)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} &|\psi^{\circ n}(a_n(s)) - \psi^{\circ n}(b_n(s))| \\ &\leq |a_n(s) - b_n(s)| \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} |\psi'(\psi^{\circ k}(w))| \\ &\leq |a_n(s) - b_n(s)| \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_{n-k}^2}\right) \\ &= \lambda_n \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}}\right)^s - \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n}\right)^s \right) \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2}\right) \\ &\leq \lambda_n \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}}\right)^s - \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n}\right)^s \right) \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right) \\ &= (n+1)\lambda_n \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}}\right)^s - \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n}\right)^s \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\sqrt{k} \leq \lambda_k$ from Lemma 2.1 part 1.

For $1 < y < x$ there exists $y < \xi < x$ such that

$$x^s - y^s = s(x - y)\xi^{s-1} \leq \begin{cases} s(x - y) & \text{if } 0 < s \leq 1 \\ sx^{s-1}(x - y) & \text{if } 1 < s, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and using $\lambda_n/\lambda_{n-1} \leq \lambda_2$ for $n \geq 2$ we get

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}}\right)^s - \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n}\right)^s \leq s \max(\lambda_2^{s-1}, 1) \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} - \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n}\right).$$

By (1.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} - \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{\lambda_{n-1}^2}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{\lambda_n^2}} \right) = \frac{2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n-1}^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} \right)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{\lambda_{n-1}^2}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{\lambda_n^2}}} \leq \frac{\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_{n-1}^2}{\lambda_n^2 \lambda_{n-1}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

so the final estimate is

$$|\psi^{\text{on}}(a_n(s)) - \psi^{\text{on}}(b_n(s))| \leq \max(\lambda_2^{s-1}, 1) \frac{s(n+1)}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n-1}^2} (\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_{n-1}^2),$$

which tends to zero by part 2, 3 and 4 of Lemma 2.1.

2° : *Equation (1.18) holds for $0 < s \leq 1$.*

This is part of Theorem 1.2, but for the convenience of the reader we repeat the main step.

For any convex function h on $]0, \infty[$ we have for $0 < s \leq 1$ and $n \geq 2$

$$h(n) - h(n-1) \leq \frac{h(n+s) - h(n)}{s} \leq h(n+1) - h(n).$$

By taking $h = \log(1/f)$, which it is convex because $1/f$ is completely monotonic, we get using $f(n) = \lambda_n$

$$\log \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n} \leq \frac{1}{s} \log \frac{\lambda_n}{f(n+s)} \leq \log \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}},$$

hence

$$\lambda_n \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right)^s \leq f(n+s) \leq \lambda_n \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} \right)^s, \quad 0 < s \leq 1.$$

Using that ψ is increasing on $]0, \infty[$, we get by applying ψ^{on} to the previous inequality

$$\psi^{\text{on}}(b_n(s)) \leq f(s) = \psi^{\text{on}}(f(n+s)) \leq \psi^{\text{on}}(a_n(s)),$$

and 2° follows from 1°.

3° : *Equation (1.18) holds for $0 < s < \infty$.*

We will show that if (1.18) holds for some $s > 0$, then it also holds for $s+1$. By 2° we then get 3°.

Assume now that (1.18) holds for some $s > 0$. In particular

$$\psi^{\circ(n+1)}(a_{n+1}(s)) \rightarrow f(s) = \psi(f(s+1)).$$

Using

$$\varphi(x) = (1/2)(x + \sqrt{x^2 + 4}), x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.2)$$

as the continuous inverse of $\psi|]0, \infty[$ we get

$$\psi^{\circ n}(a_{n+1}(s)) = \psi^{\circ n}(b_n(s+1)) \rightarrow \varphi(f(s)) = f(s+1),$$

which is “half” of (1.18) for $s+1$, but the other “half” comes from 1°.

Notice that $\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1} = 1/\lambda_n$ and hence

$$\rho_{n,N} = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n-N} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1-k}}, \quad n \geq N \geq 1. \quad (2.3)$$

We denote $D(a, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - a| < r\}$.

4° : For $N \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < c \leq 1, n > N$

$$\psi(D(\lambda_n, c\rho_{n,N})) \subseteq D(\lambda_{n-1}, c\rho_{n-1,N}).$$

If $|z - \lambda_n| < c\rho_{n,N}$ we get

$$|\psi(z) - \lambda_{n-1}| = |z - \lambda_n - (\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n})| < c\rho_{n,N}(1 + \frac{1}{|z|\lambda_n})$$

and

$$|z| = |\lambda_n - (\lambda_n - z)| \geq \lambda_n - |\lambda_n - z| > \lambda_n - \rho_{n,N} = \lambda_{n-N},$$

hence

$$|\psi(z) - \lambda_{n-1}| < c\rho_{n,N}(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_n\lambda_{n-N}}) = c(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1-k}} + \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-N}}{\lambda_n\lambda_{n-N}}) = c\rho_{n-1,N}.$$

Iterating $n - N$ times using $\rho_{N,N} = \lambda_N - \lambda_0 = \lambda_N$ we get

5° : For $1 \leq N \leq n$ and $0 < c \leq 1$

$$\psi^{\circ(n-N)}(D(\lambda_n, c\rho_{n,N})) \subseteq D(\lambda_N, c\lambda_N).$$

6°: For $0 < c \leq 1, |z| \leq cN, N \leq n$ we have $b_n(z) \in D(\lambda_n, c\rho_{n,N})$.

For $a > 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| \leq 1$ we have the elementary inequality

$$|a^z - 1| \leq |z|(a - 1). \quad (2.4)$$

Applying this with $a = (\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n)^N$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_n(z) - \lambda_n| &= \lambda_n \left| \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right)^z - 1 \right| \leq \lambda_n c \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right)^N - 1 \right) \\
&= \lambda_n c \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} - 1 \right) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right)^k = \frac{c}{\lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \right)^k \\
&= c \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda_{n+1}^{k-1}}{\lambda_n^k} \right) \leq c \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n-k+1}} < c \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n-k}} = c \rho_{n,N},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequalities

$$\lambda_{n+1}^{k-1} \lambda_{n-k+1} \leq \lambda_n^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.5)$$

which are equivalent to

$$(k-1) \log f(n+1) + \log f(n-k+1) \leq k \log f(n),$$

but they hold because $\log f$ is concave.

Combining 5° and 6° we get

7° : For $0 < c \leq 1, n \geq N, |z| \leq cN$

$$\psi^{\circ(n-N)}(b_n(z)) \in D(\lambda_N, c\lambda_N).$$

In particular, the sequence $\psi^{\circ(n-N)}(b_n(z)), n \geq N$ of holomorphic functions in the disc $D(0, N)$ is bounded on compact subsets of this disc.

8° : For $0 < s < \infty, n \geq N$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\circ(n-N)}(b_n(s)) = f(s+N).$$

Since $b_n(s) > \lambda_n$ we know that $\psi^{\circ(n-N)}(b_n(s)) > \lambda_N$. For each $N \geq 1$ we see that $\psi^{\circ N} :]\lambda_{N-1}, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a homeomorphism with inverse $\varphi^{\circ N}$, where φ is given by (2.2). Since $\psi^{\circ n}(b_n(s)) \rightarrow f(s)$ we get

$$\varphi^{\circ N}(\psi^{\circ n}(b_n(s))) \rightarrow \varphi^{\circ N}(f(s)) = f(s+N)$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\circ(n-N)}(b_n(s)) = f(s+N).$$

9° : Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For $z \in D(0, N)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\circ(n-N)}(b_n(z)) = f(z+N),$$

and the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $D(0, N)$.

By Montel's theorem the sequence $\psi^{\circ(n-N)}(b_n(z))$ has accumulation points h in the space $\mathcal{H}(D(0, N))$ of holomorphic functions on $D(0, N)$. By 8° we know that $h(s) = f(s + N)$ for $0 < s < N$. By the uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions, all accumulation points then agree with $f(z + N) \in \mathcal{H}(D(0, N))$, and the result follows.

10°: For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\circ n}(b_n(z)) = f(z),$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$.

For a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ we choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset D(0, N)$ and know by 9° that $\psi^{\circ(n-N)}(b_n(z))$ converges uniformly to $f(z + N)$ for $z \in K$. We next use that $\psi^{\circ N} : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is continuous, hence uniformly continuous with respect to the chordal metric on $\mathbb{C}^*$, and since $\psi^{\circ N}(f(z + N)) = f(z)$, the result follows.

11°: For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^{\circ n}(a_n(z)) = f(z),$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$.

In fact,

$$\psi^{\circ n}(a_{n+1}(z)) = \psi^{\circ n}(b_n(z + 1)) \rightarrow f(z + 1)$$

so

$$\psi(\psi^{\circ n}(a_{n+1}(z))) \rightarrow \psi(f(z + 1)) = f(z).$$

Acknowledgment The authors want to thank Michael Olesen, Nykøbing Katedralskole for useful comments to an early version of this manuscript.

References

- [1] Akhiezer, N. I., *The classical moment problem*. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
- [2] Artin, E., *The Gamma Function*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1964.
- [3] Beardon, A. F., *Iteration of rational functions*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. **132**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1991.
- [4] Berg, C., Durán, A. J., *A transformation from Hausdorff to Stieltjes moment sequences*. Ark. Mat. **42** (2004), 239–257.

- [5] Berg, C., Durán, A. J., *Some transformations of Hausdorff moment sequences and Harmonic numbers*. Canad. J. Math. **57** (2005), 941–960.
- [6] Berg, C., Durán, A. J., *The fixed point for a transformation of Hausdorff moment sequences and iteration of a rational function*. To appear in Math. Scand.
- [7] Hausdorff, F., *Momentenprobleme für ein endliches Intervall*, Math. Z. **16** (1923) 220–248.
- [8] Widder, D. V., *The Laplace Transform*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941.